A Characterization of the Radical of a Jordan Algebra

KEVIN MCCRIMMON

Department of Mathematics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia Communicated by Nathan Jacobson Received April 10, 1970

The Jacobson radical of a Jordan algebra has been defined [5] as the maximal ideal consisting entirely of quasi-invertible elements. In this paper we shall obtain a characterization of the radical as the set of properly quasi-invertible elements, in analogy with the case of associative algebras. An element is properly quasi-invertible if it is quasi-invertible in all homotopes. (We show this characterization also works in the associative case). We apply our characterization of the radical of \mathfrak{I} to describe the radical of $U_e\mathfrak{I}$, e an idempotent in \mathfrak{I} , and the radical of an ideal $\mathfrak{R} \subset \mathfrak{I}$.

Throughout we use the notations and terminology of [4] for quadratic Jordan algebras over an arbitrary ring of scalars Φ . We recall the basic axioms for the composition $U_x y$ in the case of unital algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (UQJ\,\mathrm{I}) & U_1 = I; \\ (UQJ\,\mathrm{II}) & U_{U(x)y} = U_x U_y U_x; \\ (UQJ\,\mathrm{III}) & U_x V_{y,x} = V_{x,y} U_x = U_{U(x)y,x}. \end{array}$$

Algebras without unit can be defined [7]; any such algebra \mathfrak{J} can be imbedded as an ideal in a unital algebra $\mathfrak{J}' = \Phi 1 + \mathfrak{J}$. If \mathfrak{A} is an associative algebra we obtain a Jordan algebra \mathfrak{A}^+ from \mathfrak{A} by taking $U_x y = xyx$.

1. The Associative Motivation

It is well known that the Jacobson radical of an associative algebra \mathfrak{A} consists precisely of the *properly quasi-invertible* (p.q.i.) elements, those z for which all az (equivalently all za) are quasi-invertible (q.i.) in the sense that 1 - az is invertible in the algebra \mathfrak{A}' obtained by adjoining a unit to \mathfrak{A} . It is also known [6] that the Jacobson radical of the Jordan algebra \mathfrak{A}^+ coincides with that of the associative algebra \mathfrak{A} . Now the condition that az be q.i. cannot be formulated in Jordan terms since az is not a Jordan product.

MCCRIMMON

We seek a different characterization of p.q.i. elements. The condition that az be q.i. with quasi-inverse (necessarily of the form) aw is az + aw = (az)(aw) = (aw)(az). For this it is certainly sufficient if z + w = zaw = waz. This is just the condition that z and w be quasi-inverses in the *a*-homotope $\mathfrak{A}^{(a)}$, where multiplication in $\mathfrak{A}^{(a)}$ is given by $x \cdot_a y = xay$. But this condition is also necessary. If az is q.i. in \mathfrak{A} so is za, hence 1 - az and 1 - za are invertible in \mathfrak{A}' , and the multiplication operators L_{1-za} , R_{1-az} are invertible on \mathfrak{A}' . Since \mathfrak{A} is an ideal in \mathfrak{A}' it is invariant under these operators and their inverses (which are also multiplications), so the restrictions $L_{1-za} = I - L_z L_a = I - L_z^{(a)}$ and $R_{1-az} = I - R_z R_a = I - R_z^{(a)}$ are invertible on \mathfrak{A} . (WARNING: $L_z^{(a)} = L_z L_a$ holds only on \mathfrak{A} , not on $\mathfrak{A}^{(a)'} = \Phi 1^{(a)} + \mathfrak{A}^{(a)}$). But these are the restrictions of $L_{1(a)-z}^{(a)'}$ and $R_{1(a)-z}^{(a)'}$ in $\mathfrak{A}^{(a)'}$ to \mathfrak{A} , so z is q.i. in $\mathfrak{A}^{(a)}$. We have established

PROPOSITION 1. The element az is q.i. in the associative algebra \mathfrak{A} if and only if z is q.i. in the homotope $\mathfrak{A}^{(a)}$. Thus z is p.q.i. if and only if it is q.i. in all homotopes $\mathfrak{A}^{(a)}$.

2. QUASI-INVERSES IN THE JORDAN CASE

Two elements u, v in a unital Jordan algebra are *invertible* if

- (i) $u \circ v = 2;$
- (ii) $U_u v = u$;
- (iii) $U_u v^2 = 1$.

The element u is invertible if and only if the operator U_u is, and this will be the case as soon as 1 is in the range of U_u . Two elements in a (not necessarily unital) Jordan algebra \mathfrak{J} are *quasi-inverses* if 1 - x, 1 - y are inverses in \mathfrak{J}' . The above conditions for u = 1 - x, v = 1 - y reduce to

(i)
$$x \circ y = 2(x + y);$$

(ii)
$$U_x y = x + y + x^2;$$
 (1)

(iii)
$$U_x y^2 = 2(x + y) + x^2 + y^2$$
.

In this case y is uniquely determined as

$$y = U_{1-x}^{-1}(x^2 - x).$$
 (2)

The invertibility of 1 - x is equivalent to the invertibility of

$$U'_{1-x} = I - V_x + U_x \,. \tag{3}$$

on J.

104

We have a notion of homotopy for Jordan algebras too. The *u*-homotope $\mathfrak{J}^{(u)}$ has the same linear structure as \mathfrak{J} but new multiplication

$$U_{x}^{(u)} = U_{x}U_{u} \qquad x^{2(u)} = U_{x}u V_{x,y}^{(u)} = V_{x,U(u)y} \qquad V_{x}^{(u)} = V_{x,u}.$$
(4)

If u is invertible then $\mathfrak{J}^{(u)}$ has unit $1^{(u)} = u^{-1}$ and we call $\mathfrak{J}^{(u)}$ the *u*-isotope. If u is not invertible then $\mathfrak{J}^{(u)}$ will not have a unit (even if \mathfrak{J} had one to begin with), but of course we can always adjoin one to obtain a unitial $\mathfrak{J}^{(u)'}$. (Again, the formulas (4) hold only on $\mathfrak{J}^{(u)}$, not all of $\mathfrak{P}1^{(u)} + \mathfrak{J}^{(u)}$). On $\mathfrak{J}^{(u)}$ the operator $U_{1(u)-x}^{(u)}$ reduces to $I - V_{x,u} + U_x U_u$ in virtue of (3) and (4). This leads us to introduce the operators

$$T_{x,y} = I - V_{x,y} + U_x U_y$$

in any Jordan algebra J. These operators have been utilized by Professors Koecher [3, p. 142] and Faulkner [1]. The basic properties we will use are contained in the following

LEMMA 1. The transformations $T_{x,y}$ on \Im satisfy

- (i) $T_{x,0} = T_{0,x} = I$, $T_{\alpha x,y} = T_{x,\alpha y}$ ($\alpha \in \Phi$),
- (ii) $T_{x,y}T_{-x,y} = T_{x,y}T_{x,-y} = T_{x,U(y)x} = T_{U(x)y,y}$, (5)

(iii)
$$T_{x,y}U_zT_{y,x} = U_{T(x,y)z}$$
,
(iv) $T_{x,y}T_{y,x} = I - V_w + U_w$ for $w = x \circ y - U_x y^2$.

The first is clear by inspection (it actually holds for all α in the centroid). The rest have been proven in [9].

The relation of the $T_{x,y}$'s to quasi-invertibility is given by

LEMMA 2. For elements x, y of a Jordan algebra \Im the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) x is q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}^{(y)}$;
- (ii) $T_{x,y}$ is invertible on \mathfrak{J} ;
- (iii) $T_{x,y}$ is surjective on \mathfrak{J} ;
- (iv) $2x U_x y$ is in the range of $T_{x,y}$;
- (v) $w = x \circ y U_x y^2$ is in the range of $T_{x,y}$;

(vi)
$$w = x \circ y - U_x y^2$$
 is q.i. in \mathfrak{J} .

In this case the inverse of $T_{x,y}$ is $T_{z,y}$ where x and z are quasi-inverses in $\mathfrak{J}^{(y)}$.

(6)

MCCRIMMON

Proof. If x is q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}^{(y)}$ then $1^{(y)} - x$ is invertible in $\mathfrak{J}^{(y)'}$; the ideal $\mathfrak{J}^{(y)}$ is invariant under the multiplication $U_{1^{(y)}-x}^{(y)'}$ and its inverse $U_{1^{(y)}-z}^{(y)'}$ (z the quasiinverse of x), hence the restrictions $T_{x,y}$ and $T_{z,y}$ are inverses on $\mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{J}^{(y)}$. Thus (i) \Rightarrow (ii) (and the final statement of the Lemma holds). Clearly (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (iv), (v). Now

$$U_{1(y)-x}^{(y)'} = (1^{(y)} - x)^{2(y)} = 1^{(y)} - 2x + x^{2(y)} = 1^{(y)} - 2x + U_x y$$

is always in the range of $U_{1(x)-x}^{(y)'}$, so if $2x - U_x y$ is in $T_{x,y}(\mathfrak{J}) = U_{1(x)-x}^{(y)'}(\mathfrak{J})$ we will have $1^{(y)}$ in the range too, and $1^{(y)} - x$ will be invertible. Thus $(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$. Similarly $1 - w = T_{x,y}1$ is always in the range of $T_{x,y}$ on \mathfrak{J}' (not $\mathfrak{J}^{(y)'}$), so if $w = T_{x,y}z$ is also in the range then $1 = T_{x,y}(1 + z)$ will be too. Applying (5.iii) in \mathfrak{J}' gives $T_{x,y}U_{1+z}T_{y,x} = I$ on \mathfrak{J}' . Then $T_{x,y}$ is surjective on \mathfrak{J}' , hence invertible by (ii) (applied to \mathfrak{J}'), so $U_{1+z}T_{y,x} = T_{x,y}^{-1}$ is again invertible on \mathfrak{J}' . But then U_{1+z} is at least surjective, which is enough to guarantee it is invertible, so $T_{y,x} = U_{1+z}^{-1}T_{x,y}^{-1}$ is too. From (3) and (5.iv) we see U_{1-w} is invertible and w is q.i. Thus $(v) \Rightarrow (vi)$. Clearly $(vi) \Rightarrow (iii)$ in view of (5.iv).

From these we derive several useful results. By Proposition 1 the associative symmetry result that xy is q.i. if and only if yx is q.i. becomes x q.i. in $\mathfrak{A}^{(y)}$ if and only if y q.i. in $\mathfrak{A}^{(x)}$. In this form the result carries over to Jordan algebras.

PROPOSITION 2. (Symmetry Principle). An element x is q.i. in the Jordan homotope $\mathfrak{J}^{(y)}$ if and only if y is q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)}$.

Proof. We saw in the course of proving Lemma 2 that $T_{x,y}$ invertible implies $T_{y,x}$ invertible, and we apply (6).

Another form of symmetry in the associative case is that zxzy is q.i. if and only if xzyz is. This too carries over to Jordan algebras in the result that we can "shift" the operator U_z .

PROPOSITION 3. (Shifting Principle). $U_z x$ is q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}^{(y)}$ if and only if x is q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}^{(U_z y)}$.

Proof. Suppose x is q.i. in $\mathfrak{I}^{(U_z y)}$ with quasi-inverse w. In the homotope $\mathfrak{I}^{(U_z y)}$ the conditions (1) become (via (4))

$$\{x \ U_z \ y \ w\} = 2(x + w), \qquad U_x U_{U(z)y} w = x + w + U_x (U_z \ y),$$

 $U_x U_{U(z)y} U_w (U_z \ y) = 2(x + w) + U_x (U_z \ y) + U_w (U_z \ y).$

Applying U_z to these relations and employing UQJ II (and its linearized form) we obtain

$$\{U_z x \ y \ U_z w\} = 2(U_z x + U_z w), \quad U_{U(z)x} U_y (U_z w) = U_z x + U_z w + U_{U(z)x} y,$$

 $U_{U(z)x} U_y U_{U(z)w} \ y = 2(U_z x + U_z w) + U_{U(z)x} \ y + U_{U(z)w} \ y$

so $U_z x$ and $U_z w$ are quasi-inverses in $\mathfrak{J}^{(y)}$.

Conversely, if $U_z x$ is q.i. in $\mathfrak{I}^{(y)}$ then y is q.i. in $\mathfrak{I}^{(U_z x)}$ by the Symmetry Principle. From the previous case (with x and y interchanged) we conclude $U_z y$ is q.i. in $\mathfrak{I}^{(x)}$, so by Symmetry again x is q.i. in $\mathfrak{I}^{(U_z y)}$.

Remark. As a corollary, $U_x y^2$ is q.i. if and only if $U_y x^2$ is. For $U_x y^2$ is q.i. in \mathfrak{J} if and only if it is in $\mathfrak{J}' = \mathfrak{J}'^{(1)}$ (using (2)), and this if and only if y^2 is q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}'^{(U_x 1)} = \mathfrak{J}'^{(x^2)}$ (by Shifting), hence in $\mathfrak{J}^{(x^2)}((2)$ again), which is symmetric in x and y. We do not have $U_x y$ q.i. if and only if $U_y x$ is even in associative algebras.

3. PROPER QUASI-INVERTIBILITY

Following the lead of the associative theory, we define an element z in a Jordan algebra \mathfrak{J} to be *properly quasi-invertible* (p.q.i.) if it is q.i. in all homotopes $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)}$. We let $PQI(\mathfrak{J})$ denote the set of p.q.i. elements of \mathfrak{J} ,

$$PQI(\mathfrak{J}) = \{z \mid z \text{ p.q.i.}\} = \{z \mid z \text{ q.i. in all } \mathfrak{J}^{(z)}\}$$
$$= \{z \mid \text{all } T_{z,x} \text{ are invertible}\}.$$

By Symmetry this means all x are q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}^{(z)}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{J}^{(z)}$ is q.i.,

$$PQI(\mathfrak{J}) = \{z \mid \text{rad } \mathfrak{J}^{(z)} = \mathfrak{J}^{(z)}\}.$$

We should first justify our terminology.

PROPOSITION 4. Any p.g.i. element is g.i.

Proof. This is trivial if \mathfrak{J} is unital, as a p.q.i. element z would then be q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}^{(1)} = \mathfrak{J}$. In general we have z^2 q.i. since

$$U_{1-z^2}' = I - V_{z^2} + U_{z^2} = I - V_{z,z} + U_z U_z = T_{z,z}$$

is invertible by our assumption that z is q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}^{(z)}$ ((3) and (6)). But if z^2 is q.i. so is z.

We've just seen that our labors can often be considerably simplified if we

are working in a unital algebra. The following result allows us to pass from \mathfrak{J} to the unital \mathfrak{J}' .

PROPOSITION. 5. $PQI(\mathfrak{J}) = PQI(\mathfrak{J}') \cap \mathfrak{J}.$

Proof. If $z \in PQI(\mathfrak{I}')$ belongs to the ideal \mathfrak{I} so does its quasi-inverse in any homotope $\mathfrak{I}'^{(x')}$ (\mathfrak{I} is still an ideal in $\mathfrak{I}'^{(x')}$, and we apply (2)), so z is q.i. in all $\mathfrak{I}^{(x)}$ and thus belongs to $PQI(\mathfrak{I})$.

Conversely, if z belongs to $PQI(\mathfrak{J})$ and x' is in \mathfrak{J}' then the range of $T_{z,x'}$ contains $2z - U_z x' \in \mathfrak{J}$ (and hence by (6.iv) $T_{z,x'}$ is invertible and z q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}'^{(x')}$) since $T_{z,x'}T_{-z,x'} = T_{z,U(x')z} = T_{z,w}$ for $w = U_{x'}z \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $T_{z,w}$ is surjective on \mathfrak{J} (see (5.ii)).

In unital algebras the p.q.i. elements have u - z invertible for all invertible u, not just u = 1.

PROPOSITION 6. If \mathfrak{J} is unital and $z \in PQI(\mathfrak{J})$ then u - z is invertible for all invertible u.

Proof. u - z has the form $1^{(v)} - z$ in the v-isotope $\mathfrak{I}^{(v)}$, $v = u^{-1}$. Since z is q.i. in $\mathfrak{I}^{(v)}$ we have $1^{(v)} - z$ invertible in $\mathfrak{I}^{(v)}$, but this implies invertibility in \mathfrak{I} too.

COROLLARY. For arbitrary \mathfrak{J} , if z is p.q.i. then u - z is invertible in any $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)'}$ if u is invertible in $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)'}$.

Proof. We apply the above to $\mathfrak{I}^{(x)'}$ in place of \mathfrak{I} —note that x will be in $PQI(\mathfrak{I}^{(x)'})$ if it belongs to $PQI(\mathfrak{I}^{(x)})$, using Proposition 5, and that it belongs to $PQI(\mathfrak{I}^{(x)})$ is the conclusion of

PROPOSITION 7. $PQI(\mathfrak{J}) \subset PQI(\mathfrak{J}^{(x)})$ for any homotope $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)}$.

Proof. Any homotope of a homotope is a homotope, $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)(y)} = \mathfrak{J}^{(U_x y)}$.

The basic source of p.q.i. elements is

PROPOSITION 8. The radical consists of p.q.i. elements,

 $rad(\mathfrak{J}) \subset PQI(\mathfrak{J}).$

Proof. If z belongs to the q.i. ideal rad(\mathfrak{J}) so does $w = z \circ x - U_z x^2$ for any x in \mathfrak{J} , hence z is q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)}$ by (6).

We next want to show that if z is p.q.i. so is any $U_z x$, i.e. all y are q.i. in $\mathfrak{I}^{(U_x z)}$. It is difficult to prove this directly, for the quasi-inverse of y in $\mathfrak{I}^{(U_x z)}$ has the form

$$y' = T_{x,U(z)y}T_{w',z}(U_yx - y)$$

where w' is the quasi-inverse of $w = \{x \ z \ y\} - U_y U_z U_x z$ in $\mathfrak{J}^{(z)}$. However, there is an alternate approach which works: saying all y are q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}^{(U_2 x)}$ is the same as saying $\mathfrak{I}^{(U_2x)}$ is a *radical algebra* in the sense that it is its own radical.

PROPOSITION 9. If \Im is a radical algebra, rad $\Im = \Im$, then so is any homotope, rad $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)} = \mathfrak{J}^{(x)}$.

Proof. We must show that all elements y in \mathfrak{J} are q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)}$, and by (6.iii) it suffices if the operator $T_{y,x}$ is surjective. But by (5.iv), $T_{y,x}T_{x,y} =$ U_{1-w} for $w = x \circ y - U_y x^2$, where w is q.i. and hence 1 - w invertible (in \mathfrak{I}') because any element of \mathfrak{I} is q.i. by hypothesis. Consequently U_{1-w} is invertible, which implies $T_{y,x}$ is surjective.

PROPOSITION 10. If an element $z \in \mathfrak{J}$ is p.q.i. then so is any element $U_z x$ for $x \in \mathfrak{J}$.

Proof. We must show that $\mathfrak{J}^{(U_z x)}$ is a radical algebra, Rad $\mathfrak{J}^{(U_z x)} = \mathfrak{J}^{(U_z x)}$; but by the general transitivity relation $\mathfrak{J}^{(U_z x)} = \{\mathfrak{J}^{(z)}\}^{(x)}$ for homotopes ([4, 2]) we have $\mathfrak{I}^{(U_2x)} = \mathfrak{\tilde{I}}^{(x)}$ where $\mathfrak{\tilde{I}} = \mathfrak{I}^{(z)}$ is a radical algebra by our assumption that z is p.q.i., so by the previous proposition $\mathfrak{T}^{(x)}$ is also radical. Now we come to the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 1. For any Jordan algebra \mathfrak{J} the radical rad \mathfrak{J} consists precisely of the properly quasi-invertible elements,

rad
$$\mathfrak{J}=PQI(\mathfrak{J}).$$

Proof. We have seen that PQI(3) is q.i. and contains the radical in Propositions 4 and 8. It remains to show it is an ideal.

That $POI(\mathfrak{Z})$ is closed under scalar multiplications is an immediate consequence of (6) and (5.i). That it is closed under addition follows from the Corollary to Proposition 6: if z and w belong to $PQI(\mathfrak{J})$ then in any $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)'}$ we have 1' - (w + z) = (1' - w) - z = u - z where u = 1' - w is invertible in $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)'}$ since w is q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)}$, so u - w is invertible in $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)'}$ and z + w is q.i. in $\mathfrak{I}^{(x)}$.

That $POI(\mathfrak{J})$ is closed under outer multiplication, $U_y z \in POI(\mathfrak{J})$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $z \in POI(\mathfrak{Z})$, follows from the Shifting Principle: z q.i. in all $\mathfrak{Z}^{(U_y z)}$ implies U_{yz} q.i. in all $\mathfrak{I}^{(x)}$. If \mathfrak{I} is not unital we must also consider outer multiplications $V_y z$. The easiest way to do this is to pass to \mathfrak{I}' : We have z in $PQI(\mathfrak{I}')$ by Proposition 5, hence $V_{y}z = U_{y+1}z - U_{y}z - U_{1}z \in PQI(\mathfrak{Z}')$ by the invariance under addition and U-multiplication established above, and applying Proposition 5 again gives $V_y z \in \mathfrak{J} \cap PQI(\mathfrak{J}') = PQI(\mathfrak{J}).$

That $PQI(\mathfrak{Z})$ is closed under inner multiplication, $U_z y \in PQI(\mathfrak{Z})$ for all

 $y \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $z \in PQI(\mathfrak{J})$, follows from Proposition 10. In the non-unital case we must also prove $U_z 1 = z^2$ belongs to $PQI(\mathfrak{J})$, and again this follows from passage to $\mathfrak{J}' : z \in PQI(\mathfrak{J}) \Rightarrow z \in PQI(\mathfrak{J}') \Rightarrow z^2 \in PQI(\mathfrak{J}')$ (by the above) $\Rightarrow z^2 \in PQI(\mathfrak{J})$.

Thus $PQI(\mathfrak{J})$ is a q.i. ideal which contains the maximal q.i. ideal rad \mathfrak{J} , so we must have $PQI(\mathfrak{J}) = \text{rad } \mathfrak{J}$. This completes the proof.

Actually, Proposition 9 can be generalized to the case where rad \mathfrak{J} is not necessarily all of \mathfrak{J} . The following result is due to D. Lawver.

PROPOSITION 3. The radical of a homotope $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)}$ is

rad
$$\mathfrak{J}^{(x)} = \{z \mid U_x z \in \mathrm{rad} \mathfrak{J}\}.$$

Proof. $z \in \operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{J}^{(x)} \Leftrightarrow z$ is p.q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)}$ (by the Theorem) $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{J}^{(x)(z)} = \mathfrak{J}^{(x)(z)} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{J}^{(U_x z)} = \mathfrak{J}^{(U_x z)} \Leftrightarrow U_x z \in \operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{J}.$

4. Applications

We conclude this paper by applying our characterization of the radical to answer questions raised in [5, p. 675].

THEOREM 2. For any idempotent e in a Jordan algebra \mathfrak{J} , the radical of the Peirce subalgebra $\mathfrak{J}_1(e) = U_e \mathfrak{J}$ is

$$\operatorname{rad}(U_e\mathfrak{J}) = U_e\mathfrak{J} \cap \operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{J} = U_e(\operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{J}).$$

Proof. The latter equality is easy. To prove the former, for $z = U_e z \in U_e \mathfrak{J}$ we have $z \in \operatorname{rad} U_e \mathfrak{J} \Leftrightarrow z$ is q.i. in all $(U_e \mathfrak{J})^{(U_e x)}$ (by the Theorem) $\Leftrightarrow z$ is q.i. in all $\mathfrak{J}^{(U_e x)}$ (a quasi-inverse of z in $\mathfrak{J}^{(U_e x)}$ must actually lie in $U_e \mathfrak{J}) \Leftrightarrow U_e z$ is q.i. in all $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)}$ (by Shifting) $\Leftrightarrow z$ is q.i. in all $\mathfrak{J}^{(x)} \Leftrightarrow z \in \operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{J}$.

THEOREM 3. For any ideal \Re in a Jordan algebra \Im we have

rad
$$\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{K} \cap \mathrm{rad} \mathfrak{J}.$$

Proof. Here $\Re \cap \operatorname{rad} \Im \subset \operatorname{rad} \Re$ is easy, and we verify only that z in rad \Re is p.q.i. in \Im .

One way is to note that $\mathfrak{J}^{2(z)} = U_{\mathfrak{J}} z \subset \mathfrak{K}$ since \mathfrak{K} is an ideal, and $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{K}^{(z)} = \operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{K}^{(z)}$ is q.i. since z lies in rad \mathfrak{K} , so $\mathfrak{J}^{2(z)}$ is contained in the q.i. ideal $\mathfrak{K}^{(z)}$ and $\mathfrak{I}^{(z)}$ itself is q.i.

Another way is to note by (5.ii) that $T_{x,z}$ will be surjective on \mathfrak{J} if $T_{x,z}T_{-x,z} = T_{U(x)z,z} = T_{w,z}$ is, where $w = U_x z \in \mathfrak{K}$. Thus it is enough to consider only x = w in \mathfrak{K} . By (6.iv) it is also enough if $2w - U_w z$ is in the

range $T_{w,z}(\mathfrak{J})$. But this holds because $2w - U_w z \in \mathfrak{K} = T_{w,z}(\mathfrak{K})$ since $w \in \mathfrak{K}^{(z)} = \operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{K}^{(z)}$.

Finally, we close with an example to show that rad \Im need not contain all q.i. *outer* ideals. It is based on two remarks:

(i) If \mathfrak{J} is a Jordan division algebra then rad $\mathfrak{J} = PQI(\mathfrak{J}) = 0$;

(ii) If \mathfrak{J} is a Jordan division algebra, \mathfrak{R} an outer ideal not containing 1, then \mathfrak{R} is q.i.

To see (i), just recall that rad \mathfrak{J} contains no regular elements, hence certainly no invertible elements. (Or: if z^{-1} exists then z is not q.i. in $\mathfrak{J}^{(z^{-1})}$ since $T_{z,z^{-1}} = I - V_{z,z^{-1}} + U_z U_{z^{-1}} = I - 2I + I = 0$ is not invertible). For (ii), $1 - k \neq 0$ if $k \in \mathfrak{R}$ by hypothesis, so 1 - k is invertible in \mathfrak{J} .

To construct the example, let $\mathfrak{J} = \Omega$ be a nonperfect field of characteristic 2 and $\mathfrak{R} = \Omega^2 k$ for $k \notin \Omega^2$. Then \mathfrak{J} is a division algebra, so rad $\mathfrak{J} = 0$, but \mathfrak{K} is an outer ideal $(U_{\mathfrak{J}}\mathfrak{K} = \Omega^2\mathfrak{K} \subset \mathfrak{K})$ with $1 \notin \mathfrak{K}$ $(k \notin \Omega^2)$, so $\mathfrak{K} \neq 0$ is q.i. by (ii). Thus \mathfrak{K} is a q.i. outer ideal not contained in rad \mathfrak{J} . (One can show that if a q.i. outer ideal is closed under squares, $\mathfrak{K}^2 \subset \mathfrak{K}$, then $\mathfrak{K} \subset \operatorname{rad} \mathfrak{J}$).

References

- 1. J. FAULKNER, "Octonion Planes Defined by Quadratic Jordan Algebras," Amer. Math. Soc. Memoirs, Providence, R. I.
- N. JACOBSON, "Structure and Representations of Jordan Algebras," A.M.S. Colloq. Publ. vol. 39, Providence, 1968.
- 3. M. KOECHER, Über eine Gruppe von rationaler Abbildungen, Invent. Math. 3 (1967), 136–171.
- K. MCCRIMMON, A general theory of Jordan rings, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 56 (1966), 1072–1079.
- K. MCCRIMMON, The radical of a Jordan algebra, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 62 (1969), 671-678.
- K. MCCRIMMON, On Herstein's theorems relating Jordan and associative algebras, J. Algebra 13 (1969), 382–392.
- K. MCCRIMMON, Cubing operations and quadratic Jordan algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 153 (1971), 265-278.
- K. MCCRIMMON, Nondegenerate Jordan rings are von Neuman regular, J. Algebra 11 (1969), 111–115.
- 9. K. McCRIMMON, The Koecher principle for quadratic Jordan algebras, to appear.